



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/788,907

02/28/2004

Ross Cutler

307055.01

7527

27662 7590 06/26/2008

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

C/O LYON & HARR, LLP

300 ESPLANADE DRIVE

SUITE 800

OXNARD, CA 93036

EXAMINER

WEIDNER, TIMOTHY J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2619

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/26/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/788,907	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CUTLER, ROSS	
	<b>Examiner</b> Timothy J. Weidner	<b>Art Unit</b> 2619	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Timothy J. Weidner, Examiner. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Lyon, Reg. No. 37,385. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Balfanz et al. (US 2003/0149874 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed whether or not Balfanz teaches the signature/request/reply sequence. Further discussed potential withdrawal of 112 rejection of claim 2 after scrutinous review of the specification, page 16, line 5 indicates type, and further lines 9-10 which states "the first item (type) can require ...", i.e. it may or may not require ..., which was originally in claim 18 and not claim 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Timothy J Weidner/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2619

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required